

# $p$ -ADIC HODGE THEORY

KIRAN KEDLAYA

Let  $C$  be a “smooth curve over  $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ ”, by which we mean a smooth projective curve over  $R$  minus a divisor that is finite étale over  $R$ . Let  $p$  be a prime not dividing  $N$ . Let  $J$  be “the Jacobian over  $C$  over  $R$ ”, which will be an extension of the Jacobian of the complete curve extended by a torus. Let  $O$  be a fixed element of  $C(R)$ . Chabauty’s method is based on the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C(R) & \longrightarrow & C(\mathbb{Z}_p) & & \\
 \downarrow \text{Alb} & & \downarrow \text{Alb} & \searrow^{P \mapsto (\omega \mapsto \int_O^P \omega)} & \\
 J(R) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & J(\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie } J_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = H^0(J_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)^\vee \simeq H^0(C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)^\vee
 \end{array}$$

Goal 1: Explain some  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. This is preparation for:

Goal 2: Redraw this diagram in a more Galois-cohomological way to allow for a nonabelian version.

## 1. $p$ -ADIC HODGE THEORY

Let  $X$  be a smooth proper scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (or more generally, a smooth proper scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  minus a relative normal crossings divisor). A normal crossings divisor is a divisor that étale locally looks like a union of transverse hyperplanes in affine space. A relative normal crossings divisor is the same, except over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space  $H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  has an action of  $G_p := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . The  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector space  $H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  has a Hodge filtration, and is isomorphic to  $H_{\text{crys}}^i(X_{\mathbb{F}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ , which has a Frobenius action.

Fontaine asks: What do  $H_{\text{et}}^i$  and  $H_{\text{dR}}^i$  have to do with each other?

Let  $\mathbb{C}_p = \widehat{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ . Fontaine defines a “big ring”  $B_{\text{crys}}$ , a topological  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra with a continuous  $G_p$ -action, a decreasing filtration (commuting with the  $G_p$ -action), and an extra endomorphism  $\phi$  (Frobenius).

**Theorem 1.1** (Faltings, Tsuji). *There is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -vector spaces*

$$\left( H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{crys}} \right)^{G_p} \simeq H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

*respecting the filtration and Frobenius action. To go the other way: there is an isomorphism*

$$\left( \text{Fil}^0 \left( H_{\text{dR}}^i(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{crys}} \right) \right)^{\phi=1} \simeq H_{\text{et}}^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

*respecting the Galois action.*

---

*Date:* February 5, 2007.

Let  $T = T_p(J) = \varprojlim_n J[p^n](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  be the  $p$ -adic Tate module. Let  $V = T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq H_1^{\text{et}}(C_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Let  $G_R$  be the Galois group over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of the maximal extension unramified outside  $Np$ ; then  $G_R$  acts on  $V$ . For  $\ell \neq p$ , let  $H_f^1(G_\ell, V) = \ker(H^1(G_\ell, V) \rightarrow H^1(I_\ell, V))$ . Define  $H_f^1(G_p, V) = \ker(H^1(G_p, V) \rightarrow H^1(G_p, V \otimes B_{\text{crys}}))$ . Let  $H_f^1(G_R, V)$  be the set of elements of  $H^1(G_R, V)$  that map to  $H_f^1(G_\ell, V)$  for each prime  $\ell$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
C(R) & \longrightarrow & C(\mathbb{Z}_p) & & & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
H_f^1(G_R, V) & \longrightarrow & H_f^1(G_p, V) & = & H^0(G_p, B_{\text{crys}} \otimes V) / \text{Fil}^0 & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
J(R) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & J(\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie } J_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & = & H^0(J_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)^\vee \simeq H^0(C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)^\vee
\end{array}$$

The comparison theorem relates  $H_{\text{dR}}^1(C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $H_{\text{et}}^1(\overline{C}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = V^*(1)$ . In

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow H^1(C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 0,$$

the space on the left is  $\text{Fil}^1$  in the Hodge filtration.

In the diagram above, the first upward map is an isomorphism if  $\text{III}$  is finite. The second upward map is an isomorphism. That the third upward map is an isomorphism follows from the comparison theorem.

The map

$$J(R) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow H_f^1(G_R, V)$$

is a Kummer map. Taking Galois cohomology of

$$0 \rightarrow J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[p^n] \rightarrow J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{p^n} J(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow 0$$

yields

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\varprojlim_n \frac{J(R)}{p^n J(R)} & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_R, V) \\
& \searrow & \uparrow \\
& & H_f^1(G_R, V)
\end{array}$$

The arrow

$$H_f^1(G_p, V) \leftarrow H^0(G_p, B_{\text{crys}} \otimes V) / \text{Fil}^0$$

comes from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow B_{\text{crys}}^{\phi=1} \rightarrow \frac{B_{\text{crys}}}{B_{\text{crys}}^+} \rightarrow 0$$

(where  $\text{Fil}^0 B_{\text{crys}} = B_{\text{crys}}^+$ ) by tensoring with  $V$  and taking invariants.

Let  $U_n^{\text{et}} = \pi_1^{\text{unip}}(C, \mathcal{O})_{/\mathbb{Q}_p} / L^{n+1}$ .

Then we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
C(R) & \longrightarrow & C(\mathbb{Z}_p) & & & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
H_f^1(G_R, U_n^{\text{et}}) & \longrightarrow & H_f^1(G_p, U_n^{\text{et}}) & \equiv & H^0(G_p, B_{\text{crys}} \otimes U_n^{\text{et}}) / \text{Fil}^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{comparison theorem}} & U_n^{\text{dR}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
H_f^1(G_R, V) & \longrightarrow & H_f^1(G_p, V) & \equiv & H^0(G_p, B_{\text{crys}} \otimes V) / \text{Fil}^0 & & \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
J(R) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & J(\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie } J_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \equiv & H^0(J_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)^\vee \simeq H^0(C_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \Omega^1)^\vee
\end{array}$$

*Remark 1.2.* The  $p$ -adic Tate module  $T$  is the maximal pro- $p$  abelian quotient of  $\pi_1^{\text{et}}(C_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}, O)$ .